

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/684,431	0/684,431 10/15/2003		Gurtej S. Sandhu	M4065.0316/P316-A	3910	
24998	7590	12/01/2005		EXAMINER		
DICKSTEI 2101 L Stree		MORIN & O	GHYKA, ALI	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G		
Washington, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2812		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ.
dX
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{y}}$

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
SANDHU ET AL.
Art Unit
2812

	LAdiminei	Aironic						
	Alexander G. Ghyka	2812	_					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	The state of the s	i E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-14,17-22,25-29 and 32-45</u> .		ALEXANDER C	HYKA					
Claim(s) objected to:		PRIMARY EXA	MINER					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-58</u> .		Ay 2812	5 /					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		$\Delta I \Delta I$						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	ot he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 56-58 simply require that an oxide material is selected from group consisting of BPSG, PSG and TEOS. Claims which were indicated as allowable required the presence of a separate oxide layer over the substrate. See for Example Claim 13. Therefore Claims 56-58 are rejected for the reasons of record.

ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER